

Ohio Partners for Cancer Control (OPCC)

July 2020 Meeting Evaluation Results


28 Total Surveys Completed (29 surveys started)

35% survey response rate (28 out of 79 meeting participants)


Description of Survey Respondents

- **96%** (n=27) are a current OPCC member. One respondent reported "I am not sure."
- **82%** (n=23) participated in a Topical Workgroup to develop Cancer Plan goals

In what Topical Workgroup(s) did you participate?



How many Topical Workgroup(s) did you participate in?



Understanding of the 2021-2030 Cancer Plan Development Process

I understand the high-level process for developing the Ohio Cancer Plan 2021-2030.

100% Agree/Strongly agree

Do you have any questions or concerns about the process for developing the Ohio Cancer Plan 2021-2030?

82% No

18% Yes

NOTE: The five participants that reported “**Yes**” to having questions or concerns about the new Cancer Plan process were members of the Topical Workgroups for *Patient centered services* (n=1), *Genetics* (n=1), *Prostate cancer* (n=2), and *Cervical cancer and Colorectal cancer and pediatric cancer* (n=1).

Please describe any questions or concerns you have about the process for developing the Ohio 2021-2030 Cancer Plan...

- We are still not identifying how we can [directly help metastatic patients](#). We need to see them and identify this population in all of our work. This should not just be a document for early stage patients. As those with metastatic disease are at times living longer, we need to address how we can serve them and make sure they are not forgotten.
- At what point do the [objectives get approved and prioritized](#)? I thought we did that as a group last time. Also, how will this all play out? I am on a workgroup that manages its objectives and strategies as part of [another project separate and apart from OPCC](#). Is that how all others work? I am thinking about sustainability.
- My question of proper [dissemination to cancer care stakeholders](#) as well as how to [increase utilization of the plan](#) was addressed in the meeting. I think these are key topics to address as we continue throughout the year.
- The list of objectives is very long, and the number of strategies will be even longer. The plan may become an overwhelming and will take a lot of [work to monitor and evaluate](#) over time.
- I hope we plan to make a bold [statement about racism as a public health emergency](#) in our cancer plan, while also calling out [emphasis on health equity](#). I know this can come later, but it's something I think we should include.

Information Provided and the Revision Process

Do you feel you have the information needed to...

	% Yes
Participate in a workgroup to develop strategies for a topic of interest	100%
Request technical assistance to obtain data, as needed	100%
Access updated documents and resources needed for developing strategies	100%
Use the Guidebook effectively to develop strategies	100%
Integrate health equity into strategies*	93%
Ask for help if you have general questions about the revision process	100%

*N=2 people reported "No." One person was a member of the Genetics workgroup. The other was a member of three workgroups, including Cervical, Colorectal, and Pediatric cancer.

What about the revision process, if anything, remains unclear?

- The role of current OPCC Committees vs. topic lead groups.
- Communication with the small groups has been [very disorganized](#) with the groups I am in. It would be nice to have google circles or some [home space for group updates](#) and info outside of just email to make sure things aren't being missed and all members are included.
- The [health equity](#) presentations were very good, but I still think work groups will have a [hard time integrating this high-level information](#) into statewide objectives.
- I think it might be a good idea to have [Chip meet with the HE committee](#), so everyone is on the same page with regard to providing HE TA to the workgroups.
- I don't believe the process is unclear. Personally, it's a lot for me to take in and I am doing so at my pace. OPCC has an amazing membership and everyone is so knowledgeable and helpful. Selfishly, I am enjoying listening, learning, and sharing when I can. It's a blessing to be part of the OPCC and one day I hope to be able to offer more to the plan.
- Revision process seems pretty clear at this point.
- Right now, all is good...may have questions as we dig deeper into accomplishing objectives.
- Nothing and the updated Guidebook is super helpful! Thank you!!!
- Looks pretty clear - thanks!
- Nothing (n=3 responses)

What resources and/or information would be helpful to support your Topical Workgroup over the next couple months to develop strategies?

- Extra [resources for making sure Health Equity is integrated into our strategies](#). Chip and Ron shared some awesome ideas that would be very relevant in reaching the most vulnerable and under-served populations with CRC Screenings.
- [Health disparities analyses](#) that are cross-cutting for all cancer issues -- such as access to care, poverty, etc. -- it would make our work more efficient. Thank you.
- Assistance with [incorporating health equity](#).
- More specific instructions as to how to [promote HE in strategies](#).
- Looking forward to the summary email with all the [HE resources](#) in one place.
- Infographic, or [step by step approach to targeted universalism](#) by Chip Allen.
- Will be gathering some data resources to establish baselines.
- [Continuing with the virtual platform](#) throughout the pandemic.
- If you are providing all that was shared during this meeting, I think we are good to move forward.
- Not sure, but I will reach out as needed.
- I think we have everything we need.
- Not sure, this was my first meeting.
- I am unsure.
- Not sure at this point.

How likely are you to invite others to engage in the Ohio Cancer Plan revision process after today's meeting?

➤ **93% answered Extremely likely or Very likely**

NOTE: Two participants reported "Unlikely", and they were members of the *Patient centered services* and *Genetics* workgroups.

Meeting Satisfaction

How satisfied are you with the following aspects of today's meeting?

- **100%** of respondents were **Satisfied** or **Very Satisfied** with the...
 - ✓ On-line format
 - ✓ Meeting pace
 - ✓ Meeting length
 - ✓ Opportunities to engage
 - ✓ Speaker presentations

Areas for improving today's meeting...

- The small group **breakouts were too short**.
- "It would have been helpful to have each of the **new topical areas to have a brief presentation**. I wasn't sure why some were included and others not.
- I **didn't realize the objectives were already approved**. I was expecting a short presentation from each of the workgroup leads as to how they decided what to focus on and to generate discussion.
- You did a lot well. The managing of the technology and addressing the large audience. It's obvious a lot of work and effort went into planning the video conference. Thank you. The only area that was tough for me was **reviewing materials during a break and then providing input**. The breakouts were nice, but as a visual and hands on learner it takes me a bit longer to be prepared to provide input. Not a big deal, just sharing this about me. The presenters were well prepared and did a wonderful job managing the pace of their talk and sharing of slides. Overall, great conference! Thank you!
- Only issue I saw with the tech is that any "links" in the **Zoom chat** actually were just text and had to be copied/pasted into a browser. **Actual links would be helpful!**
- I would have liked more **time to interact regarding the design of the Cancer Plan**.
- Not dissatisfied but a few **more minutes for small groups** would have been really helpful.

Positive feedback on today's meeting...

- Awesome Meeting! I particularly appreciated how you were able to pivot when we were running behind and still **cover the entire agenda**, remaining true to your commitment to end by 1pm. Plus, you made the **break-out room process SO easy!!!**

- I had never done the breakout rooms before and I liked being able to talk with a small group of people. I also loved the [use of Menti](#). Great job facilitating!
- I like the [breakout sessions](#) and the [Mentimeter tool](#).
- The coordinating team was amazing. The call was [well organized](#) and lots of [good information was shared](#). Well done!
- Great job [adapting to an online format](#).
- Once I was able to stay on Zoom, it was fine. Good to see people and hear their voices.